

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
10 Bay 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Avyw.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fl	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/061,813	(	01/31/2002	James Armand Baldwin	MS1-1011US	1857	
22801	7590	07/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LEE & HA		_				
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SPUKANE,	WA 992	.01				

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notific	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/061,813	BALDWIN ET AL.				
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brian J. Gillis	2141				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
The Appeal Brief filed on 15 June 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.							
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this Notificatio					
1. 🖾	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.						
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3.	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🗵	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))						
6. 🗵	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).						
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).						
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and relied upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).						
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):					
	1. The brief does not have an Evidence Appendix of 5 The brief cites claims 4, 9, 14-16, 18, 21, and 24 and 24 are rejected under 103(a) over Rodriguez et over Rodriguez et al.	are rejected over Rodriguez in view	of Byme. Claims 4, 9, 18, 21,				
		RUPAL SUPERVISORY P	DHARIA ATENT EXAMINER				